

Online Appendix

Table of Contents

Likelihood Ratio Test.....	1
How do we fit likelihood ratio test?	2
Table A1. Test Statistics by Different Sample Sizes (Full results)	3
Robustness of the Improved LM Test.....	6
<i>Varying Factor Correlations</i>	6
Table A2. Low Factor Correlations	7
Table A3. High Factor Correlations	9
<i>Varying Number of Indicators Per Factor</i>	11
Table A4. 5-Indicators Per Factor	13
Table A5. 12-Indicators Per Factor	14
<i>Varying Magnitudes of Factor Loadings</i>	16
Table A6. Low Factor Loadings.....	17
Table A7. High Factor Loadings.....	19
Huddy and Khatib’s (2007) survey questions on the 2002 student sample.	20

Likelihood Ratio Test

The likelihood ratio test (LRT) is widely regarded as one of the most commonly used methods for assessing the performance of nested models. In this study, we compare our proposed improved LM test to LRT. LRT can be done as follows. One would create a restricted model M_1 in which all of the free parameters θ_1 to be tested are simultaneously set to zero, assuming these parameters do not contribute to explaining the variance in the data. The more general model M_2 has added parameters θ_r , so all the parameters in the general model are $\theta_2 = \theta_1 + \theta_r$, thus θ_1 is a subset of θ_2 .

LRT compares the χ^2 test statistics of two nested models by evaluating the difference in χ^2 values obtained from the two runs, as well as by calculating the corresponding difference in degrees of freedom between the restricted model M_1 and the more parameterized model M_2 . It assesses whether the χ^2 difference, also following a χ^2 distribution under the null hypothesis, is statistically significant. This tests the hypothesis that the covariance matrices of the two models are equivalent. If this null hypothesis is rejected, it indicates that the additional parameters θ_r in the more complex model M_2 significantly improve the model's fit to the data. With q_1 free parameters in θ_r , θ_2 thus has q_2 free parameters, where $q_2 = q_1 - r$. When fitting the same covariance matrix with p variables, the degrees of freedom associated with M_1 and M_2 are $df_1 = (p^* - q_1)$ and $df_2 = (p^* - q_2) = (p^* - q_1 - r)$, respectively. Let $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ represent the estimations of θ_1 and θ_2 . The LRT compares the χ^2 test statistics of the two models, denoted as $nF(\hat{\theta}_1)$ and $nF(\hat{\theta}_2)$ respectively. The degrees of freedom associated with the LRT statistic are $df_1 - df_2$. To simplify, θ_r is assumed to be fixed at 0 in the M_1 model. Hence, to compare the difference between M_1 and M_2 , we test if θ_r differs from 0. Therefore, the LRT statistic can be formulated as:

$$LRT = n[F(\hat{\theta}_1) - F(\hat{\theta}_2)] \sim \chi_r^2.$$

How do we fit likelihood ratio test?

First, we based on the univariate LM test to draw 12 suggested omitted parameters, so that we have the same set of testing parameters as the improved LM test. Based on these 12 suggested omitted parameters, we add one of them at a time, that way we create a set of nested models. The likelihood

ratio tests compare a pair of models at a time sequentially. If a model is better than the other one, then we will see that χ^2 statistic is statistically significant.

Table A1. Test Statistics by Different Sample Sizes (Full results)

N=100

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	92.098	0.000	67.646	0.000	63.877	0.000	363.19	0.000
2	F3, x16	24.355	0.000	23.663	0.000	19.996	0.000	337.11	0.000
3	F2, x20	20.773	0.000	22.001	0.005	27.681	0.000	313.42	0.000
4	F1, x9	17.747	0.000	18.401	0.007	19.313	0.001	283.98	0.000
5	x4, x8	12.349	0.000	10.732	0.058			283.32	0.416
6	F2, x2	11.601	0.001	10.763	0.029	6.206	0.094	277.23	0.014
7	x4, x12	11.393	0.001	10.854	0.022	5.722	0.099	272.45	0.029
8	x4, x7	10.763	0.001	10.934	0.021			267.48	0.026
9	x5, x8	10.058	0.002	9.124	0.057			264.64	0.092
10	x12, x15	9.999	0.002	10.155	0.034	5.020	0.133	259.81	0.028
11	x6, x4	9.644	0.002	8.254	0.035	7.359	0.081	254.87	0.026
12	F2, x3	8.573	0.003	7.374	0.063			254.62	0.620

N=150

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	168.551	0.000	137.789	0.000	115.089	0.000	375.610	0.000
2	F1, x9	74.895	0.000	69.773	0.000	40.894	0.000	309.720	0.000
3	F3, x16	30.653	0.000	28.847	0.002	12.019	0.006	285.180	0.000
4	F2, x20	22.704	0.000	24.366	0.001	39.441	0.000	241.480	0.000
5	F3, x9	22.238	0.000	19.485	0.015	1.056	0.474	241.480	0.931
6	F2, x2	20.780	0.000	17.325	0.003	1.066	0.483	240.800	0.412
7	F1, x16	19.006	0.000	18.947	0.005	4.279	0.176	237.060	0.053
8	x6, x4	16.978	0.000	13.988	0.015	6.939	0.106	232.230	0.028
9	x6, x12	16.882	0.000	15.180	0.009	1.586	0.405	231.400	0.363
10	F2, x5	16.355	0.000	13.907	0.012	1.031	0.495	230.880	0.469
11	F2, x8	16.268	0.000	12.154	0.031	3.972	0.230	228.680	0.138
12	f3, x6	15.809	0.000	19.202	0.040	1.786	0.343	227.400	0.258

N=250

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	243.499	0.000	227.021	0.000	128.070	0.000	474.88	0.000
2	F1, x9	101.591	0.000	92.615	0.000	71.814	0.000	387.54	0.000
3	F3, x6	92.413	0.000	86.370	0.000	6.920	0.066	384.99	0.111
4	F2, x20	57.578	0.000	57.658	0.000	63.686	0.000	312.61	0.000
5	F3, x16	50.632	0.000	51.008	0.000	29.380	0.000	258.99	0.000
6	F2, x8	25.454	0.000	23.029	0.001	1.606	0.385	258.12	0.351
7	x6, x20	24.994	0.000	24.250	0.001	1.386	0.434	257.88	0.622
8	F2, x1	21.83	0.000	20.145	0.001	1.450	0.411	256.61	0.260
9	F2, x3	21.321	0.000	19.433	0.001	0.983	0.495	256.61	0.999
10	F1, x13	19.161	0.000	18.067	0.002	4.793	0.149	253.16	0.063
11	x3, x12	18.871	0.000	19.316	0.002	6.919	0.075	246.85	0.012
12	F2, x5	18.293	0.000	16.324	0.005	0.969	0.503	246.78	0.795

N=300

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	267.745	0.000	246.558	0.000	182.242	0.000	514.030	0.000
2	F1, x9	155.903	0.000	152.754	0.000	103.410	0.000	367.540	0.000
3	F2, x20	68.739	0.000	70.415	0.000	70.999	0.000	266.730	0.000
4	F1, x20	32.597	0.000	30.873	0.007	1.319	0.457	266.610	0.736
5	F3, x16	30.947	0.000	30.117	0.000	29.494	0.000	227.190	0.000
6	f2, x5	27.228	0.000	25.242	0.000	0.753	0.547	226.690	0.480
7	x6, x20	26.383	0.000	28.026	0.001	1.563	0.412	226.410	0.593
8	F2, x8	24.648	0.000	23.483	0.001	1.039	0.477	226.410	0.986
9	F2, x1	21.296	0.000	20.915	0.002	1.941	0.337	225.410	0.317
10	F2, x4	21.083	0.000	20.786	0.003	1.253	0.449	225.250	0.692
11	x8, x3	20.953	0.000	20.128	0.004	3.119	0.267	223.340	0.167
12	x6, x12	20.022	0.000	20.205	0.006	1.624	0.430	223.240	0.756

N=350

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	332.671	0.000	305.722	0.000	203.534	0.000	584.87	0.000
2	F1, x9	182.737	0.000	168.950	0.000	133.946	0.000	419.97	0.000
3	F2, x20	87.01	0.000	87.739	0.000	88.283	0.000	291.16	0.000
4	F3, x6	65.913	0.000	62.475	0.000	3.770	0.176	281.6	0.002
5	x6, x20	60.066	0.000	57.062	0.000	3.544	0.207	279.11	0.115
6	F3, x16	47.652	0.000	48.129	0.000	31.355	0.000	231.68	0.000
7	F2, x4	31.121	0.000	27.909	0.000	1.589	0.383	231.6	0.781
8	x11, x15	29.194	0.000	29.707	0.000	11.806	0.012	219.58	0.001
9	F2, x3	27.776	0.000	24.910	0.001	2.146	0.306	218.73	0.358
10	F2, x5	26.409	0.000	22.512	0.001	1.797	0.367	217.98	0.387
11	F2, x8	26.066	0.000	23.136	0.001	1.161	0.483	217.68	0.581
12	F2, x2	25.335	0.000	22.741	0.000	2.280	0.287	215.92	0.185

N=400

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	288.109	0.000	282.443	0.000	214.186	0.000	537.27	0.000
2	F1, x9	206.289	0.000	207.344	0.000	115.641	0.000	365.33	0.000
3	F2, x20	73.277	0.000	73.805	0.000	80.792	0.000	252.84	0.000
4	F3, x16	41.481	0.000	41.395	0.000	80.792	0.000	208.28	0.000
5	F2, x5	28.641	0.000	29.372	0.000	2.363	0.290	205.69	0.108
6	F1, x20	26.982	0.000	27.045	0.005	3.365	0.233	203.6	0.148
7	F2, x4	25.02	0.000	27.045	0.005	2.504	0.284	201.82	0.182
8	x20, x11	24.793	0.000	25.034	0.000	2.073	0.360	199.95	0.171
9	x5, x10	23.098	0.000	23.713	0.001	6.893	0.098	194.35	0.018
10	x5, x8	21.474	0.000	21.412	0.001	2.779	0.279	192.38	0.160
11	F1, x11	21.401	0.000	22.131	0.002	2.092	0.338	190.94	0.229
12	F2, x8	21.241	0.000	21.832	0.002	1.052	0.490	190.93	0.925

N=500

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	538.391	0.000	483.535	0.000	302.512	0.000	720.28	0.000
2	F1, x9	328.768	0.000	306.362	0.000	189.343	0.000	466.39	0.000
3	F2, x20	92.473	0.000	97.219	0.000	120.855	0.000	321.34	0.000
4	F3, x6	86.143	0.000	90.821	0.000	1.113	0.486	321.02	0.570
5	F3, x16	69.436	0.000	67.891	0.000	46.686	0.000	255.71	0.000
6	F2, x5	53.813	0.000	46.616	0.000	2.420	0.275	254.17	0.215
7	F3, x9	51.487	0.000	45.364	0.010	3.392	0.238	251.4	0.096
8	F2, x8	48.234	0.000	40.207	0.000	0.898	0.500	251.34	0.813
9	F2, x1	45.938	0.000	40.969	0.000	1.162	0.449	251.25	0.763
10	F2, x3	39.532	0.000	33.452	0.000	1.476	0.414	250.68	0.451
11	x6, x20	38.695	0.000	39.874	0.000	1.840	0.378	249.74	0.332
12	F1, x10	35.594	0.000	33.082	0.000	5.693	0.106	244.64	0.024

N=700

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	795.318	0.000	766.975	0.000	437.878	0.000	794.400	0.000
2	F1, x9	215.067	0.000	214.862	0.000	183.251	0.000	561.740	0.000
3	F2, x20	203.848	0.000	201.634	0.000	187.452	0.000	321.070	0.000
4	F3, x6	128.206	0.000	135.198	0.000	1.177	0.484	319.520	0.214
5	F1, x20	108.18	0.000	105.039	0.000	1.102	0.470	319.460	0.800
6	F2, x8	82.385	0.000	79.944	0.000	3.063	0.240	315.180	0.039
7	F3, x16	77.868	0.000	78.092	0.000	60.078	0.000	240.780	0.000
8	x6, x20	67.495	0.000	68.539	0.000	2.224	0.326	239.580	0.273
9	F2, x4	59.623	0.000	58.419	0.000	1.181	0.454	239.500	0.787
10	F2, x3	58.6	0.000	56.355	0.000	1.630	0.416	238.640	0.353
11	F2, x5	57.406	0.000	55.430	0.000	1.055	0.480	238.400	0.624
12	x6, x8	43.807	0.000	42.612	0.000	1.829	0.401	237.680	0.397

N=1000

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		Likelihood Ratio Test	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	1059.751	0.000	1013.785	0.000	622.506	0.000	1231.510	0.000
2	F1, x9	551.727	0.000	541.939	0.000	312.393	0.000	752.360	0.000
3	F2, x20	262.35	0.000	266.353	0.000	265.379	0.000	407.060	0.000
4	F3, x6	130.052	0.000	140.235	0.000	1.257	0.455	404.750	0.129
5	F3, x16	128.449	0.000	128.355	0.000	97.400	0.000	266.690	0.000
6	F2, x8	101.117	0.000	96.034	0.000	1.369	0.460	266.590	0.761
7	F1, x20	95.897	0.000	91.887	0.000	1.125	0.477	266.590	0.929
8	x6, x20	79.496	0.000	83.095	0.000	3.020	0.270	264.710	0.171
9	F2, x5	78.413	0.000	74.127	0.000	1.188	0.471	264.710	0.940
10	F2, x3	76.011	0.000	74.053	0.000	1.042	0.484	264.330	0.537
11	F2, x4	74.874	0.000	72.359	0.000	1.862	0.402	263.740	0.445
12	F2, x1	73.657	0.000	70.275	0.000	1.403	0.447	263.600	0.702

N=2000

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	1962.459	0.000	1952.303	0.000	1212.599	0.000	2087.21	0.000
2	F1, x9	943.124	0.000	944.285	0.000	598.461	0.000	1240.16	0.000
3	F2, x20	587.028	0.000	586.605	0.000	528.742	0.000	538.86	0.000
4	F1, x20	283.966	0.000	277.914	0.000	1.541	0.427	538.5	0.552
5	F3, x16	281.457	0.000	284.288	0.000	232.153	0.000	224.93	0.000
6	F2, x8	207.045	0.000	207.408	0.000	1.190	0.460	224.84	0.755
7	F3, x6	187.422	0.000	198.224	0.000	1.196	0.479	224.75	0.766
8	x6, x20	153.37	0.000	156.145	0.000	1.003	0.495	224.65	0.751
9	F2, x3	144.77	0.000	145.718	0.000	1.028	0.487	224.05	0.438
10	F2, x5	140.543	0.000	141.952	0.000	1.995	0.374	224.02	0.887
11	F2, x1	116.233	0.000	116.989	0.000	0.946	0.510	222.33	0.192
12	F2, x4	114.175	0.000	114.271	0.000	9.140	0.059	215.66	0.010

Robustness of the Improved LM Test

Varying Factor Correlations

To further examine the robustness of the improved LM test in covariance structure, we examine these statistical properties by probing varying factor correlations, indicators per factor, and loadings. First, we begin the test with low factor correlations by setting the factor correlations as: $(F1, F2) = 0.13$, $(F1, F3) = 0.1$, and $(F2, F3) = 0.18$. The factor loadings and the number of factors per factor remain the

same as in the original model. We find that when factor correlations are low, the improved LM test becomes more efficient at detecting omitted parameters. The performances of the improved LM test and the likelihood ratio test become similar when the sample sizes exceed 100. However, when sample sizes are smaller than 100, the improved LM test still outperforms the LRT.

For high factor correlations, we set the factor correlations as: $(F1, F2) = 0.65$, $(F1, F3) = 0.7$, and $(F2, F3) = 0.8$. We find that high factor correlations tend to behave differently than low factor correlations. The improved LM test tends to accept false parameters, except when $N=100$. In other sample sizes in this study, the improved LM test falsely detects one additional omitted parameter. However, the improved LM test still outperforms the LRT, which tends to falsely detect three or four additional omitted parameters. Overall, when the factor correlations are high, it increases the potential relationships among factor loadings and residuals. Still, the improved LM test delivers outstanding performance.

Low Factor Correlations

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0.13 & 1 & \\ 0.10 & 0.18 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

High Factor Correlations

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0.65 & 1 & \\ 0.70 & 0.80 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Table A2. Low Factor Correlations

N=100

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	60.932	0.000	53.067	0.000	50.141	0.000	362.49	0.000
2	F2, x20	37.404	0.000	37.194	0.000	22.686	0.000	315.72	0.000
3	F1, x20	29.672	0.000	28.973	0.000	0.948	0.497	315.2	0.469
4	F3, x16	25.418	0.000	26.322	0.000	8.953	0.034	284.37	0.000
5	F1, x9	15.863	0.000	16.266	0.025	19.323	0.000	261.69	0.000
6	x3, x14	10.051	0.002	10.686	0.020	6.581	0.075	255.25	0.011
7	x4, x11	8.984	0.003	9.437	0.038	3.460	0.223	251.43	0.051
8	F2, x4	8.875	0.003	8.211	0.044	1.273	0.454	251.01	0.515
9	x6, x11	8.064	0.005	8.824	0.044	2.754	0.272	249.31	0.192
10	F3, x1	7.305	0.007	7.725	0.067			243.89	0.020
11	F2, x24	6.827	0.009	7.492	0.048	4.271	0.140	240.16	0.054
12	x5, x11	6.704	0.010	7.052	0.059			238.44	0.190

N=150

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	93.549	0.000	96.666	0.000	102.587	0.000	431.09	0.000
2	F1, x9	74.239	0.000	74.825	0.000	45.244	0.000	351.33	0.000
3	F2, x20	46.276	0.000	44.563	0.000	53.075	0.000	296.64	0.000
4	F3, x16	26.57	0.000	27.294	0.000	23.957	0.000	268.17	0.000
5	x6, x20	25.647	0.000	22.951	0.003	1.253	0.471	268.13	0.845
6	F3, x6	17.288	0.000	18.813	0.038	1.126	0.465	267.95	0.671
7	F1, x12	15.881	0.000	16.930	0.004	2.049	0.379	266.67	0.258
8	F2, x3	13.088	0.000	13.248	0.005	2.476	0.295	264.46	0.137
9	x12, x3	8.544	0.003	10.035	0.039	3.412	0.231	261.58	0.090
10	F2, x5	7.851	0.005	9.083	0.034	1.498	0.415	261.11	0.495
11	F1, x14	7.55	0.006	8.292	0.063			259.16	0.163
12	x20, x1	7.167	0.007	8.374	0.051			256.83	0.126

N=200

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	129.148	0.000	132.049	0.000	189.628	0.000	527.73	0.000
2	F1, x9	96.736	0.000	96.592	0.000	60.397	0.000	409.11	0.000
3	F2, x20	95.234	0.000	92.628	0.000	107.738	0.000	283.53	0.000
4	x6, x20	60.975	0.000	58.134	0.000	5.720	0.122	281.63	0.169
5	F3, x16	56.573	0.000	56.833	0.000	29.174	0.000	215.65	0.000
6	F2, x5	12.703	0.000	14.346	0.009	2.192	0.322	214.51	0.284
7	F1, x14	9.528	0.002	10.839	0.025	5.400	0.158	211.14	0.067
8	x6, x9	9.174	0.002	9.715	0.024	1.025	0.483	211.14	0.969
9	F1, x20	9.087	0.003	12.098	0.030	1.787	0.403	209.59	0.213
10	F1, x13	8.388	0.004	8.950	0.035	2.504	0.285	208.29	0.254
11	F1, x12	8.354	0.004	9.662	0.036	1.721	0.401	207.58	0.399
12	x20, x3	8.052	0.005	9.536	0.041	5.016	0.157	203.99	0.058

N=500

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	485.053	0.000	421.198	0.000	225.103	0.000	800.350	0.000
2	F1, x9	265.282	0.000	242.423	0.000	142.420	0.000	583.370	0.000
3	F2, x20	191.987	0.000	193.447	0.000	123.820	0.000	322.420	0.000
4	F1, x20	97.899	0.000	86.324	0.000	0.954	0.507	322.420	0.966
5	F3, x16	84.702	0.000	83.921	0.000	58.086	0.000	232.880	0.000
6	x6, x20	58.97	0.000	66.617	0.000	1.606	0.413	232.370	0.478
7	F2, x8	49.468	0.000	40.816	0.000	1.173	0.491	231.900	0.493
8	F2, x4	46.935	0.000	38.490	0.000	0.781	0.549	231.900	0.973
9	F2, x3	42.394	0.000	34.504	0.000	0.731	0.551	231.840	0.804
10	F2, x5	40.422	0.000	32.449	0.000	1.027	0.492	231.830	0.940
11	F2, x1	34.25	0.000	28.318	0.000	0.763	0.536	230.700	0.288
12	F2, x2	29.197	0.000	23.038	0.003	1.724	0.426	230.280	0.516

N=1000

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	708.561	0.000	710.403	0.000	846.215	0.000	1544.45	0.000
2	F1, x9	486.137	0.000	490.303	0.000	315.185	0.000	982.67	0.000
3	F2, x20	429.001	0.000	428.120	0.000	422.994	0.000	403.84	0.000
4	x6, x20	317.4	0.000	314.413	0.000	3.245	0.263	402.27	0.211
5	F3, x16	131.355	0.000	130.182	0.000	100.472	0.000	250.34	0.000
6	F3, x6	71.884	0.000	72.057	0.000	1.981	0.379	249.26	0.298
7	F2, x3	49.915	0.000	50.732	0.000	2.555	0.313	247.56	0.192
8	F2, x5	44.363	0.000	46.577	0.000	1.390	0.419	247	0.454
9	F2, x8	41.153	0.000	43.445	0.000	1.103	0.490	246.65	0.553
10	F2, x4	40.881	0.000	42.976	0.000	1.213	0.481	246.42	0.635
11	F1, x20	37.506	0.000	40.830	0.000	1.102	0.486	246.41	0.923
12	F1, x11	36.728	0.000	37.736	0.000	3.155	0.274	244.41	0.157

Table A3. High Factor Correlations

N=100

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	41.907	0.000	38.962	0.001	23.512	0.006	347.060	0.000
2	F3, x6	29.587	0.000	30.073	0.004	1.931	0.373	346.920	0.706
3	F1, x9	26.238	0.000	25.459	0.003	28.725	0.001	319.520	0.000
4	F2, x20	14.735	0.000	13.936	0.038	17.435	0.008	301.050	0.000
5	x20, x14	13.416	0.000	13.605	0.014	4.194	0.208	295.340	0.017
6	x7, x11	12.615	0.000	12.749	0.024	6.963	0.092	295.340	0.963
7	F3, x16	11.231	0.001	12.466	0.021	13.769	0.015	279.050	0.000
8	x7, x3	11.052	0.001	11.134	0.021	4.117	0.170	273.460	0.018
9	x14, x19	10.165	0.001	11.203	0.025	6.042	0.137	268.900	0.033
10	x15, x17	9.570	0.002	10.408	0.036	6.502	0.094	262.840	0.014
11	x3, x11	8.210	0.004	8.161	0.058			260.440	0.121
12	x3, x4	7.464	0.006	7.972	0.075			256.910	0.060

N=150

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	44.696	0.00	45.507	0.013	33.619	0.000	344.100	0.000
2	F1, x9	31.586	0.00	33.163	0.001	33.781	0.000	299.870	0.000
3	F2, x20	21.423	0.00	21.726	0.006	7.913	0.066	289.980	0.002
4	F1, x20	17.806	0.00	18.604	0.015	7.493	0.125	281.700	0.004
5	F1, x16	14.743	0.00	15.077	0.006	1.859	0.388	280.300	0.236
6	x1, x13	14.354	0.00	14.707	0.006	8.309	0.044	270.010	0.001
7	x16, x4	13.305	0.00	13.429	0.007	6.308	0.091	266.490	0.061
8	F3, x9	12.996	0.00	13.814	0.021	0.965	0.486	265.890	0.438
9	x6, x15	12.752	0.00	13.352	0.014	7.785	0.067	255.990	0.002
10	x16, x11	12.377	0.00	13.196	0.007	6.540	0.061	251.440	0.033
11	F3, x16	12.288	0.00	12.728	0.017	9.213	0.062	244.100	0.007
12	x4, x5	11.668	0.00	11.859	0.014	2.787	0.266	241.870	0.136

N=200

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	89.11	0.000	80.174	0.000	58.207	0.000	359.910	0.000
2	F1, x9	64.29	0.000	61.536	0.000	43.849	0.000	294.690	0.000
3	F2, x20	26.043	0.000	26.252	0.004	18.502	0.005	277.370	0.000
4	F3, x16	18.347	0.000	18.584	0.002	15.146	0.003	256.890	0.000
5	x9, x3	17.486	0.000	17.249	0.002	8.612	0.030	248.100	0.003
6	F1, x20	14.602	0.000	16.296	0.035	4.691	0.141	242.570	0.019
7	F3, x6	13.922	0.000	14.909	0.044	2.571	0.322	241.620	0.328
8	x5, x8	13.144	0.000	14.036	0.006	5.368	0.106	237.240	0.036
9	F2, x7	12.727	0.000	13.220	0.020	1.718	0.400	236.690	0.457
10	F1, x13	12.502	0.000	12.203	0.012	4.092	0.186	234.100	0.108
11	F3, x9	12.237	0.000	13.468	0.037	1.337	0.443	233.940	0.683
12	F1, x14	11.527	0.001	12.253	0.009	2.551	0.307	232.390	0.214

N=500

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	164.205	0	161.801	0.000	106.944	0.000	446.700	0.000
2	F1, x9	88.361	0	87.683	0.000	98.705	0.000	344.040	0.000
3	F3, x16	32.303	0	33.085	0.000	19.113	0.003	319.680	0.000
4	F2, x20	32.067	0	31.884	0.000	31.672	0.000	278.750	0.000
5	F3, x6	31.265	0	32.651	0.000	1.565	0.400	278.660	0.764
6	F3, x9	29.569	0	30.356	0.000	1.795	0.359	277.800	0.356
7	x20, x19	20.414	0	20.591	0.001	11.887	0.017	267.320	0.001
8	x8, x7	19.054	0	19.405	0.004	6.634	0.081	260.960	0.012
9	F2, x8	15.326	0	16.054	0.005	1.559	0.410	260.180	0.377
10	x5, x13	15.042	0	15.696	0.007	8.035	0.054	252.600	0.006
11	x8, x3	14.733	0	15.831	0.004	2.603	0.281	250.900	0.192
12	x4, x14	14.435	0	15.218	0.007	7.659	0.058	243.930	0.008

N=1000

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	366.254	0.000	361.243	0.000	180.103	0.000	596.550	0.000
2	F1, x9	182.128	0.000	182.761	0.000	150.891	0.000	375.770	0.000
3	F3, x6	117.022	0.000	118.322	0.000	0.966	0.497	373.910	0.173
4	F3, x9	64.765	0.000	65.903	0.000	0.982	0.516	372.500	0.234
5	F2, x20	58.591	0.000	58.196	0.000	71.717	0.000	298.200	0.000
6	F3, x16	54.699	0.000	55.265	0.000	33.139	0.000	257.260	0.000
7	x4, x5	33.114	0.000	34.254	0.000	4.192	0.201	254.220	0.081
8	F2, x8	29.85	0.000	29.849	0.000	1.190	0.482	253.930	0.592
9	x5, x12	29.526	0.000	29.757	0.000	3.728	0.211	250.970	0.085
10	x10, x12	27.98	0.000	29.342	0.000	8.361	0.044	243.270	0.006
11	F1, x16	27.139	0.000	28.064	0.000	1.462	0.446	242.710	0.454
12	F2, x1	25.286	0.000	26.123	0.000	2.019	0.362	241.690	0.313

Varying Number of Indicators Per Factor

To explore the performance of the improved LM test with different numbers of indicators per factor, we choose to test 5 and 12 loadings per factor.

5-Indicators Per Factor

For the 5-indicator model, we have the following factor loadings and factor correlation matrix:

$$\Lambda' = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5, 0.424, 0.581, 0.48, 0.55, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0.65, 0, 0, 0, 0.55, 0.38, 0.6, 0.85, 0.6, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.65, 0.58, 0.62, 0.49, 0.62, 0.64 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0.173 & 1 & \\ 0.408 & 0.262 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

12-Indicators Per Factor

To test how 12 indicators per factor affect the performance of the improved LM test, we use the following factor loading and factor correlation design:

$$\Lambda' = \begin{bmatrix} 0.65, 0.65, 0.7, 0.7, 0.7, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.6, 0.55, 0.5, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.7, 0.5, 0.5, 0.65, 0.5, 0.5, 0.6, 0.55, 0.6, 0.45, 0.5, 0.45, 0, 0, 0, 0.5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.45, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.6, 0.6, 0.6, 0.7, 0.70, 0.450, 0.5, 0.65, 0.55 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0.3 & 1 & \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

To ensure the covariance matrix is positive definite, we modify the factor loadings and factor correlations for both the 5-indicator and 12-indicator models. In the 5-indicator model, high factor correlations can result in a covariance matrix that is not positive definite, causing convergence issues, particularly with small sample sizes. To address this, we lower the factor correlations in the 5-indicator model, enabling the model to run successfully. Additionally, we set the population model with two additional parameters: (F2, x2) and (F3, x10). For the 12-indicator model, we set the population model with four additional parameters: (F2, x28), (F1, x13), (F3, x16), and (F2, x6). These parameters are omitted in their respective analysis models. Therefore, we expect the improved LM test to detect these omitted parameters correspondingly.

The results show consistent patterns. As Table A4 shows, when the number of indicators is 5, the statistical power of the improved LM test weakens with smaller sample sizes, though it still performs better than the LRT. In contrast, Table A5 shows that with 12 indicators, both the improved LM tests

and the LRT demonstrate equivalent performance. However, when N=300, the improved LM test outperforms the LRT.

Table A4. 5-Indicators Per Factor

N=100									
	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F3, x10	37.400	0.000	36.535	0.000	16.151	0.011	128.951	0.000
2	F2, x2	33.010	0.000	30.966	0.001	24.859	0.000	91.892	0.000
3	F1, x10	19.054	0.000	18.827	0.015	1.526	0.396	91.527	0.545
4	f3, x2	8.362	0.004	10.071	0.089			89.875	0.199
5	x7, x8	7.475	0.006	9.170	0.040	5.704	0.080	84.427	0.020
6	x6, x12	6.695	0.010	7.352	0.055			77.054	0.007
7	F3, x4	6.556	0.010	5.995	0.089			70.236	0.009
8	x10, x7	6.377	0.012	7.065	0.061			67.724	0.113
9	x10, x14	5.383	0.020	6.326	0.099			66.979	0.388
10	x1, x11	4.113	0.043	4.570	0.115			65.764	0.271
11	x1, x12	4.049	0.044	4.692	0.137			61.438	0.038
12	F2, x4	3.763	0.052	4.602	0.147			61.233	0.650

N=200									
	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F3, x10	70.115	0.000	64.942	0.000	38.960	0.000	127.321	0.000
2	F2, x2	26.225	0.000	21.693	0.027	20.182	0.002	92.602	0.000
3	F3, x9	19.891	0.000	17.334	0.002	3.066	0.217	89.901	0.100
4	x2, x9	11.820	0.001	13.475	0.023	1.435	0.437	89.577	0.569
5	x10, x14	9.485	0.002	8.242	0.058			88.837	0.389
6	x10, x9	7.768	0.005	6.570	0.063			88.660	0.674
7	F1, x10	7.695	0.006	6.737	0.181			86.759	0.168
8	x9, x6	6.497	0.011	11.099	0.089			86.507	0.616
9	x10, x12	6.468	0.011	6.135	0.095			84.850	0.198
10	x2, x7	5.898	0.015	7.687	0.063			81.974	0.090
11	x3, x4	5.878	0.015	7.121	0.087			76.803	0.023
12	x7, x15	5.050	0.025	5.870	0.115			73.151	0.056

N=300

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F3, x10	90.856	0.000	90.551	0.000	40.332	0.000	148.301	0.000
2	F2, x2	58.402	0.000	57.441	0.000	42.434	0.000	87.091	0.000
3	F3, x9	16.693	0.000	16.033	0.006	3.055	0.250	85.820	0.260
4	F3, x8	14.629	0.000	15.468	0.005	4.003	0.160	82.153	0.055
5	F3, x2	11.860	0.001	12.243	0.028	0.916	0.514	82.151	0.971
6	F2, x4	11.205	0.001	11.540	0.020	4.329	0.159	78.433	0.054
7	x10, x11	11.120	0.001	12.126	0.017	3.050	0.245	76.721	0.191
8	x10, x8	9.581	0.002	10.490	0.021	2.024	0.359	75.720	0.317
9	x7, x15	8.644	0.003	9.737	0.033	7.667	0.058	68.972	0.009
10	F3, x6	7.044	0.008	8.681	0.067			68.863	0.742
11	x10, x15	7.005	0.008	7.098	0.052			68.856	0.935
12	x2, x9	6.984	0.008	7.794	0.050			68.010	0.357

N=500

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F3, 10	201.014	0.000	197.0602	0.000	72.095	0.000	219.622	0.000
2	F2, x2	136.377	0.000	131.367	0.000	49.903	0.000	79.244	0.000
3	F1, x10	38.392	0.000	33.852	0.019	1.035	0.484	78.724	0.471
4	F3, x9	33.370	0.000	32.237	0.000	1.121	0.481	78.698	0.871
5	F3, x6	30.226	0.000	29.281	0.000	1.309	0.458	78.698	0.991
6	F3, x8	20.525	0.000	19.937	0.003	1.778	0.396	78.695	0.958
7	x10, x6	20.465	0.000	20.237	0.002	1.036	0.484	77.856	0.360
8	x9, x6	16.426	0.000	21.456	0.023	1.156	0.468	77.728	0.720
9	x10, x11	15.756	0.000	15.514	0.004	3.013	0.235	75.76	0.161
10	x2, x9	15.731	0.000	17.283	0.004	1.845	0.381	75.672	0.767
11	x8, x14	14.215	0.000	14.970	0.008	8.407	0.057	68.844	0.009
12	x6, x8	13.067	0.000	17.412	0.032	2.118	0.375	68.044	0.371

Table A5. 12-Indicators Per Factor

N=100

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	78.191	0.000	83.895	0.000	134.959	0.000	807.590	0.000
2	F1, x13	70.741	0.000	71.819	0.000	55.350	0.000	708.870	0.000
3	F3, x6	47.369	0.000	38.845	0.011	2.436	0.310	708.770	0.756
4	F2, x28	29.439	0.000	24.026	0.005	34.100	0.000	679.500	0.000
5	F3, x16	25.592	0.000	27.217	0.016	17.474	0.001	634.420	0.000
6	F1, x16	17.067	0.000	14.581	0.025	1.220	0.470	634.350	0.791
7	F2, x1	11.358	0.001	10.604	0.025	1.399	0.429	632.520	0.176
8	F3, x1	10.528	0.001	10.203	0.022	2.013	0.315	630.970	0.213
9	x29, x36	9.237	0.002	9.609	0.021	7.206	0.042	622.680	0.004
10	F2, x35	9.051	0.003	9.002	0.023	3.241	0.238	620.600	0.150
11	x18, x20	8.905	0.003	9.792	0.037	6.953	0.062	612.820	0.005
12	x28, x29	8.849	0.003	7.990	0.046	5.432	0.113	608.350	0.034

N=200

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	228.34	0.00	190.742	0.000	226.139	0.000	928.07	0.000
2	F2, x28	132.093	0.00	133.615	0.000	146.055	0.000	813.04	0.000
3	F1, x13	131.812	0.00	119.635	0.000	77.737	0.000	675.77	0.000
4	F3, x16	97.05	0.00	86.211	0.000	30.897	0.000	582.36	0.000
5	F3, x6	77.574	0.00	72.984	0.004	1.082	0.490	581.73	0.428
6	F3, x13	46.315	0.00	35.594	0.007	1.444	0.413	581.38	0.556
7	F1, x16	41.899	0.00	33.670	0.002	1.830	0.382	581.33	0.808
8	x13, x16	33.522	0.00	26.670	0.000	1.490	0.447	581.28	0.830
9	x28, x16	24.574	0.00	22.794	0.001	3.353	0.197	578.19	0.079
10	x6, x1	19.803	0.00	17.102	0.001	2.354	0.322	576.98	0.272
11	x6, x28	19.463	0.00	19.543	0.009	2.749	0.295	575.61	0.242
12	F2, x32	19.428	0.00	19.573	0.003	3.784	0.217	573.02	0.107

N=300

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	221.084	0.000	222.643	0.000	373.677	0.000	1252.080	0.000
2	F1, x13	202.705	0.000	210.428	0.000	129.587	0.000	1003.840	0.000
3	F2, x28	183.313	0.000	167.474	0.000	188.098	0.000	847.300	0.000
4	F3, x16	130.012	0.000	129.396	0.000	54.044	0.000	653.600	0.000
5	F3, x6	122.427	0.000	108.683	0.000	2.288	0.308	652.000	0.205
6	F1, x16	46.094	0.000	50.496	0.000	0.882	0.523	651.930	0.783
7	x13, x16	43.626	0.000	47.956	0.000	2.716	0.307	650.480	0.230
8	F2, x5	25.399	0.000	26.701	0.000	2.305	0.324	649.580	0.343
9	F2, x32	25.394	0.000	23.674	0.002	1.740	0.378	648.930	0.421
10	F3, x13	25.221	0.000	44.820	0.028	1.168	0.462	648.770	0.688
11	x29, x30	23.209	0.000	23.647	0.000	8.976	0.036	639.830	0.003
12	F2, x4	22.214	0.000	22.793	0.001	1.959	0.373	639.110	0.398

N=500

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F1, x13	461.937	0	404.435	0.000	198.847	0.000	1410.39	0.000
2	F2, x6	400.032	0	367.705	0.000	596.082	0.000	1142.54	0.000
3	F3, x16	292.493	0	264.810	0.000	94.089	0.000	875.36	0.000
4	F3, x13	245.116	0	170.708	0.000	5.119	0.110	874.63	0.394
5	F2, x28	241.162	0	268.713	0.000	346.318	0.000	563.13	0.000
6	F1, x16	187.052	0	151.074	0.000	0.964	0.509	563.12	0.956
7	x13, x16	117.424	0	91.630	0.000	3.345	0.253	560.75	0.123
8	F3, x6	94.49	0	120.618	0.001	4.224	0.138	556.96	0.052
9	F1, x28	77.131	0	58.237	0.017	1.014	0.485	556.95	0.933
10	F2, x3	54.215	0	47.388	0.000	2.013	0.336	555.02	0.165
11	x16, x3	45.495	0	36.470	0.000	2.315	0.311	553.61	0.235
12	F2, ~x5	33.462	0	27.694	0.001	1.151	0.484	553.61	0.951

N=1000

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	780.100	0.000	820.228	0.000	1045.898	0.000	2459.97	0.000
2	F1, x13	711.316	0.000	736.515	0.000	368.448	0.000	1540.19	0.000
3	F3, x16	573.377	0.000	566.802	0.000	194.870	0.000	1066.67	0.000
4	F3, x6	522.391	0.000	485.847	0.000	1.822	0.380	1066.49	0.671
5	F1, x16	336.246	0.000	340.162	0.000	1.828	0.368	1064.19	0.129
6	F2, x28	332.581	0.000	322.924	0.000	595.541	0.000	573.91	0.000
7	F3, x13	249.036	0.000	290.799	0.000	2.412	0.331	572.66	0.265
8	x13, x16	161.386	0.000	163.551	0.000	0.974	0.505	572.33	0.562
9	F2, x5	58.493	0.000	62.417	0.000	4.157	0.196	569.75	0.109
10	x6, x16	57.389	0.000	59.037	0.000	1.132	0.485	569.61	0.708
11	F2, x1	50.178	0.000	53.127	0.000	1.700	0.384	569.04	0.447
12	F2, x4	49.058	0.000	53.468	0.000	1.252	0.464	568.84	0.658

Varying Magnitudes of Factor Loadings

To explore the extent to which factor loadings affect the performance of the improve LM test, we create two sets of loadings: Low and high. For the low factor loading model, we have the following factor loadings and factor correlation matrix:

Low Factor Loadings

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4, 0.4, 0.35, 0.45, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.45, 0, 0, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.35, 0, 0, 0, 0.55, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.45, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4, 0.4, 0.3, 0.3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0.3 & 1 & \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

High Factor Loadings

For the high factor loading model, we have the following factor loadings and factor correlation matrix:

$$\Lambda' = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.9, 0.9, 0.85, 0.9, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.9, 0, 0, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.85, 0, 0, 0, 0.9, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.9, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 0.9, 0.9, 0.8, 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0.3 & 1 & \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We find that the magnitudes of factor loadings influence the performance of the improved LM test, and this effect is dependent on the sample size. When sample sizes are greater than 400, we find that the improved LM test delivers efficient and robust performance compared to the LRT. However, low factor loadings in smaller sample sizes tend to have stronger impacts on the detection of omitted variables and convergence. We find that when sample sizes are smaller than 400, the models encounter convergence issues, mainly because the covariance matrix of latent variables becomes not positive definite. In contrast, with high factor loadings, both the improved LM test and LRT perform well across all sample sizes in this study. However, the improved LM test consistently demonstrates a statistical edge in detecting correct parameters compared to the LRT.

Table A6. Low Factor Loadings

N=400

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	20.061	0.000	25.247	0.056	16.576	0.010	333.08	0.000
2	F3, x6	32.47	0.000	24.543	0.050	1.204	0.436	332.69	0.530
3	x7, x1	15.245	0.000	18.303	0.006	5.754	0.104	327.92	0.029
4	F1, x9	14.886	0.000	16.698	0.119	7.199	0.038	311.32	0.000
5	F3, x9	13.244	0.000	11.557	0.147	1.362	0.418	310.41	0.341
6	F3, x16	9.764	0.002	12.627	0.138	9.927	0.022	300.03	0.001
7	F3, x7	9.167	0.002	12.308	0.041	3.292	0.181	299.73	0.584
8	x6, x20	9.07	0.003	13.583	0.043	1.103	0.486	296.57	0.075
9	F2, x7	8.635	0.003	10.437	0.062	2.868	0.265	293.94	0.105
10	F1, x16	8.36	0.004	10.175	0.156	1.251	0.434	293.35	0.441
11	F2, x20	8.289	0.004	16.106	0.122	14.331	0.016	263.93	0.000
12	x18, x21	8.19	0.004	12.140	0.027	4.401	0.190	260.7	0.072

N=500

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	36.225	0.000	33.149	0.004	10.458	0.025	307.870	0.000
2	F3, x6	35.652	0.000	33.176	0.005	1.284	0.448	307.850	0.000
3	x6, x20	25.177	0.000	30.737	0.002	3.394	0.263	293.750	0.000
4	F2, x20	24.87	0.000	22.958	0.076	10.593	0.026	306.330	0.000
5	F1, x9	15.835	0.000	20.820	0.009	9.432	0.012	241.850	0.000
6	F1, x20	15.793	0.000	22.626	0.010	1.105	0.462	241.800	0.825
7	x9, x7	14.181	0.000	19.883	0.009	4.596	0.204	239.460	0.126
8	F3, x16	13.875	0.000	18.163	0.056	16.633	0.003	220.670	0.000
9	F3, x9	13.315	0.000	12.421	0.104	7.630	0.048	212.890	0.005
10	x16, x21	11.465	0.001	16.556	0.011	5.106	0.151	209.310	0.058
11	x20, x9	11.054	0.001	16.865	0.010	4.287	0.187	204.950	0.037
12	x20, x14	9.727	0.002	14.402	0.017	6.277	0.088	200.100	0.028

N=700

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	39.708	0.000	37.885	0.003	22.035	0.001	370.86	0.000
2	F3, x6	33.269	0.000	32.607	0.003	1.252	0.467	370.74	0.734
3	F2, x20	28.788	0.000	27.318	0.034	22.331	0.001	329.76	0.000
4	F1, x9	27.376	0.000	28.850	0.003	15.658	0.001	278.03	0.000
5	F3, x16	23.307	0.000	23.340	0.013	19.770	0.001	246.33	0.000
6	x9, x8	16.132	0.000	17.350	0.003	2.553	0.316	244.49	0.174
7	x16, x19	15.868	0.000	16.991	0.005	10.105	0.035	234.74	0.002
8	F1, x16	15.379	0.000	16.669	0.009	1.872	0.340	233.55	0.274
9	x1, x7	11.175	0.001	12.115	0.020	5.614	0.112	228.61	0.026
10	F3, x9	10.957	0.001	12.830	0.095	0.931	0.508	228.54	0.796
11	x6, x20	10.815	0.001	12.212	0.029	1.346	0.447	228.24	0.584
12	x14, x21	10.441	0.001	11.363	0.019	6.216	0.093	222.72	0.019

N=1000

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F1, x9	58.984	0.000	62.220	0.000	30.689	0.000	398.99	0.000
2	F3, x16	54.975	0.000	53.113	0.004	38.293	0.000	380.21	0.000
3	F2, x6	54.463	0.000	54.411	0.000	22.254	0.001	316.53	0.000
4	F3, x6	51.42	0.000	51.119	0.000	1.750	0.419	316.13	0.527
5	F2, x20	38.254	0.000	36.668	0.022	23.690	0.001	237.82	0.000
6	F1, x16	37.387	0.000	40.281	0.000	2.574	0.281	233.98	0.050
7	F3, x9	36.524	0.000	34.381	0.030	1.188	0.471	233.32	0.418
8	x16, x21	22.832	0.000	26.541	0.001	8.124	0.051	225.63	0.006
9	x6, x20	17.209	0.000	21.863	0.005	1.636	0.419	223.74	0.168
10	x3, x7	13.956	0.000	17.609	0.012	5.227	0.140	219.73	0.045
11	x16, x6	12.291	0.000	15.575	0.012	2.469	0.317	217.93	0.180
12	F3, x1	11.998	0.001	5.561	0.011	4.092	0.172	214.05	0.049

Table A7. High Factor Loadings

N=100

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F3, x16	53.23	0.000	44.991	0.004	25.018	0.000	388.74	0.000
2	F2, x6	41.87	0.000	36.235	0.001	28.377	0.000	351.97	0.000
3	F2, x20	37.745	0.000	32.974	0.007	27.885	0.001	315.78	0.000
4	F1, x9	21.268	0.000	21.743	0.004	16.710	0.002	279.31	0.000
5	F3, x6	20.779	0.000	19.139	0.049	1.131	0.462	279.23	0.770
6	x9, x3	14.834	0.000	14.988	0.003	8.663	0.027	270.35	0.003
7	F2, x21	14.5	0.000	12.896	0.018	4.001	0.157	266.94	0.065
8	F1, x12	13.549	0.000	12.993	0.009	6.901	0.043	257.88	0.003
9	x16, x10	12.251	0.000	11.882	0.006	6.413	0.066	252.49	0.020
10	x20, x12	10.961	0.001	11.073	0.012	3.627	0.186	249.39	0.078
11	x16, x17	10.418	0.001	10.746	0.029	3.406	0.241	247.04	0.125
12	x21, x12	9.593	0.002	9.847	0.034	1.633	0.395	246.38	0.416

N=300

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	275.93	0.000	276.700	0.000	562.319	0.000	817.25	0.000
2	F1, x9	209.86	0.000	211.901	0.000	196.257	0.000	515.14	0.000
3	F2, x20	128.525	0.000	127.522	0.000	152.197	0.000	354.73	0.000
4	F3, x16	106.783	0.000	105.149	0.000	111.193	0.000	205.65	0.000
5	x6, x20	87.282	0.000	86.555	0.000	1.052	0.496	205.53	0.734
6	F3, x6	83.759	0.000	83.726	0.000	4.874	0.131	203.18	0.125
7	F1, x15	20.623	0.000	21.242	0.001	1.691	0.394	202.29	0.345
8	F2, x8	18.284	0.000	19.519	0.003	1.001	0.500	202.23	0.804
9	x6, x12	17.951	0.000	18.420	0.000	2.246	0.365	202.16	0.784
10	F1, x12	15.639	0.000	16.806	0.004	0.809	0.530	201.86	0.586
11	F3, x12	15.25	0.000	15.818	0.005	2.232	0.346	200.66	0.273
12	x6, x15	14.545	0.000	15.472	0.002	4.486	0.192	197.56	0.079

N=500

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	433.662	0.000	434.277	0.000	664.337	0.000	1277.97	0.000
2	F1, x9	347.478	0.000	347.727	0.000	346.671	0.000	756.69	0.000
3	F2, x20	221.892	0.000	220.501	0.000	215.782	0.000	479.7	0.000
4	F3, x6	168.598	0.000	169.013	0.000	5.442	0.106	447.54	0.000
5	F3, x16	154.575	0.000	153.615	0.000	141.152	0.000	254.11	0.000
6	x6, x20	145.585	0.000	145.388	0.000	1.416	0.434	254.08	0.848
7	F2, x8	43.358	0.000	44.265	0.000	1.911	0.350	252.69	0.238
8	x6, x12	34.384	0.000	35.055	0.000	1.897	0.362	250.94	0.186
9	x20, x12	33.352	0.000	34.028	0.000	1.562	0.410	250.58	0.550
10	F3, x12	29.333	0.000	29.731	0.000	3.666	0.214	247.83	0.097
11	x20, x11	25.946	0.000	27.273	0.000	5.513	0.119	243.57	0.039
12	x20, x8	24.982	0.000	25.731	0.000	2.960	0.260	241.47	0.147

N=1000

	Parameters	Univariate LM Test		Bootstrap LM Test		Bootstrap W Test		LRT	
		LM test	P-values	LM test	P-values	Chi-square	P-values	Chi-square	P-values
1	F2, x6	911.297	0.00	912.366	0.000	1681.605	0.000	2427.85	0.000
2	F1, x9	798.267	0.00	798.635	0.000	693.119	0.000	1220.3	0.000
3	F2, x20	490.452	0.00	490.115	0.000	550.420	0.000	642.49	0.000
4	F3, x16	284.323	0.00	282.955	0.000	276.200	0.000	255.31	0.000
5	x6, x20	264.367	0.00	264.714	0.000	8.478	0.057	247.11	0.004
6	F3, x6	254.426	0.00	255.271	0.000	1.143	0.476	246.97	0.705
7	F2, x8	89.066	0.00	91.158	0.000	3.188	0.254	244.94	0.154
8	x6, x12	53.928	0.00	54.597	0.000	1.479	0.419	244.56	0.538
9	x6, x11	50.576	0.00	50.717	0.000	1.433	0.429	244.17	0.531
10	x9, x8	47.468	0.00	48.918	0.000	3.124	0.264	242.05	0.145
11	F1, x11	42.107	0.00	42.928	0.000	1.502	0.432	241.69	0.553
12	F1, x12	40.789	0.00	41.050	0.000	1.522	0.422	241.18	0.475

Huddy and Khatib's (2007) survey questions on the 2002 student sample.

Q20. How similar do you feel to the average American?

1. Very similar
2. Somewhat similar
3. Not very similar
4. Not at all

Q22. When you hear a non-American criticizing Americans, to what extent do you feel you are being personally criticized?

1. A great deal
2. Somewhat
3. Very little

4. Not at all

Q23. How well does the term American describe you?

1. Very well
2. Somewhat well
3. Not very well
4. Not at all

Q24. When talking about Americans, how often would you say “we” rather than “they”

1. Most of the time
2. Some of the time
3. Occasionally
4. Never

Q26. How good does it make you feel when you see the American flag flying:?

1. Extremely good
2. Very good
3. Somewhat good
4. Or not very good

Q27. How angry does it make you feel, if at all, when you hear someone criticizing the United States:

1. Extremely angry
2. Somewhat angry
3. Not very angry
4. Not at all angry

Q28. How proud do you feel when you hear the national anthem?

1. Extremely proud
2. Very proud
3. Somewhat proud
4. Or not very proud

Q37. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There is too much criticism of the US in the world, and we as its citizens should not criticize it.

1. Strongly agree
2. Somewhat agree
3. Somewhat disagree

4. Strongly disagree

Q39. For the most part, people who protest and demonstrate against US policy are good, upstanding, intelligent people.

1. Strongly agree
2. Somewhat agree
3. Somewhat disagree
4. Strongly disagree

Q40. If another country disagreed with an important United States policy that I knew little about, I would not necessarily support my country's position.

1. Strongly agree
2. Somewhat agree
3. Somewhat disagree
4. Strongly disagree